Leaf enclosure measurements for determining volatile organic compound emission capacity from *Cannabis* spp.
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**A B S T R A C T**

The legal commercialization of Cannabis for recreational and medical use in certain US states has effectively created a new and nearly unregulated cultivation industry. Within the city limits of Denver, Colorado, there are now more than 600 registered *Cannabis* spp. cultivation facilities (CCFs) for recreational and medical uses, each containing thousands of plants. Ambient measurements collected inside growing operations pre-legalization have found concentrations as high as 50–100 ppbv of terpenes; a group of highly reactive biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) and known precursors for the formation of ozone and particulate matter (PM). Due to its illicit nature there has been insufficient experimental data produced to determine *Cannabis* spp. emission rates. This study used, for the first time, an enclosure chamber and live *Cannabis* spp. plants during a 90-day growing period consisting of four different strains of *Cannabis* spp.: Critical Mass, Lemon Wheel, Elephant Purple, and Rockstar Kush. These measurements enabled characterization of terpenes and estimates of emission capacity (EC, μg C g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) at standard conditions. During peak growth, the percentages of individual BVOC emissions were dominated by β-myrcene (18–60%), eucalyptol (17–38%), and d-limonene (3–10%) for all strains. Our results showed large variability in the rate and composition of terpene emissions across different strains. For the Critical Mass and Lemon Wheel, the dominant terpenoid was eucalyptol (32% and 38%), and it was β-myrcene (60% and 45%) for the Elephant Purple and Rockstar Kush. Critical Mass produced the highest terpene emission capacity (8.7 μg C g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) and Rockstar Kush the lowest (4.9 μg C g⁻¹ hr⁻¹). With 600 CCFs in Denver, and assuming 10,000 plants per CCF, an emission capacity of 8.7 μg C g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ would more than double the existing rate of BVOC emissions to 520 metric ton year⁻¹. Using Maximum Incremental Reactivity (MIR) values the total ozone formation potential from all these emitted species could produce 2100 metric tons year⁻¹ of ozone, and based on published secondary organic aerosols yields 131 metric tons year⁻¹ of PM. It is likely that the ECs calculated here are lower than those achieved in CCFs where growing conditions are optimized for rapid growth and higher biomass yields. Further studies including a greater number of the 620 available *Cannabis* spp. strains and a wider range of treatments are needed to generate a representative dataset. Such a dataset could then better enable assessments of the potential impacts of this new industry on indoor and regional air quality.

**1. Introduction**

The use of *Cannabis* spp. and its various products have long been controversial, with opponents of the relaxation of restrictions pointing to studies linking long-term use to mental health problems (WHO, 2016), and advocates arguing that it provides many therapeutic benefits (Ashton, 2001; Madras and World Health Organisation, 2015). Supporters of the decriminalization and legalization of *Cannabis* spp. liken current regulations to the early 20th Century United States prohibition laws, suggesting that many of the detrimental societal impacts of *Cannabis* spp. production, sale, and use are directly associated with its illegality. This argument is beginning to hold sway and, for the first time, in 2014, the United Nation Global Commission on Drug Policy (UNGCDP) called for legalization with regulation (UNGCDP, 2014). The UN Office on Drugs and Crime reports over 180 million users worldwide (UNODC, 2016), and the cultivation and use of *Cannabis* spp. for
medical purposes is already legal or decriminalized in more than 40 countries around the world. The UNGCDP argues that regulation of the recreational use of Cannabis spp. would bring transparency at all stages of the supply chain, reducing associated criminal activity and trafficking, ensuring drug safety and allowing monitoring of environmental impacts. Furthermore, legalization of the recreational use of Cannabis spp. offers an opportunity for increased fiscal revenue: in the US state of Colorado, tax revenue from sales of Cannabis spp. for recreational use in 2014 (the first year of legal commercial sales) amounted to over $76 million (UNODC, 2016). Many US states have followed Colorado’s lead, a trend that is expected to spread to many countries around the world.

Cannabis spp. are native to the Indian sub-continent and require warm temperatures and high light intensity to achieve good yields. Optimal growing conditions for commercial varieties are typically around 30 °C, 1000–1500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; depending on growth stage) and, in an outdoor environment, 22.7 L of water per day per plant (Green, 2009; Mills, 2012; Bauer et al., 2015). Although Cannabis spp. can be grown outdoors in many regions of the world, all large-scale commercial cultivation in Denver, Colorado occurs indoors or in greenhouses. This enables year-round operations, ensures security, and allows for the precise control of the growing environment to maximize yields. At indoor commercial facilities, such as those found in Denver, plants receive light 24 h per day during the initial growth stages. Since Cannabis spp. are photoperiod sensitive (i.e. only flowering when the length of daylight shortens), once sufficient leafy biomass accumulation has occurred, the lighting regime in these facilities is altered to induce budding. In most cases, a 12-h on, 12-h off pattern is used, but this can vary to as little as 8 h on over a 24-h period. Typically, the flower buds are enriched in the active ingredients Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and Cannabidiol (CBD) in comparison to foliage, and in most varieties, other plant tissues (stems, branches, roots) contain negligible amounts of these compounds. The average yield of saleable biomass from commercial strains of Cannabis spp. is around 1 kg per plant (Green, 2009; Jankauskiene and Gruzdeviene, 2015), and the approximate time to harvest is 2–3 months, permitting ~5 crop cycles per year (Green, 2009). The production of Cannabis spp. in indoor facilities has been the focus of studies quantifying the environmental impacts of energy and water use (Mills, 2012). Considerably less is known about the potential impacts of this industry on indoor and outdoor air quality due to BVOCs emitted directly from the plants themselves. Cannabis spp. plant tissues, such as leaves and buds, are known to contain many BVOCs. Previous studies of dried plant material (Turner et al., 1980; Rice and Koziel, 2015) and oil extracts from buds (Ross and ElSohly, 1996) have identified high concentrations of monoterpenes (C₁₀H₁₆), other terpenoid compounds (e.g. eucalyptol; C₁₀H₁₈O), sesquiterpenes (C₁₅H₂₄), and methanol that is associated with plant growth and cell expansion. Other studies have focused on identifying characteristic odor profiles to facilitate detection of illicit Cannabis spp. products or chromatographic signatures to detect smuggled drugs (Hillig, 2004; Fischeck et al., 2010; Rice and Koziel, 2015). Measurements of BVOC concentrations in headspace and (illicit) grow rooms have detected and identified BVOC products or chromatographic signatures to detect smuggled drugs (Hillig, 2004; Fischeck et al., 2010; Rice and Koziel, 2015). Measurements of BVOC concentrations in headspace and (illicit) grow rooms have detected and identified many hundreds of BVOCs, often in very low concentrations, of which mono- and sesquiterpenes are dominant. These species include: α-pinene, β-pinene, β-myrcene, limonene, hashishene, caryophyllene, and humulene (Martyn et al., 2013; Marchini et al., 2014). Hood et al. (Hood et al., 1973) analysed the air above Cannabis spp. plants and found that the monoterpenes α-pinene, β-pinene, β-myrcene and d-limonene accounted for over 85% of the detected VOCs emitted, with acetone and methanol contributing a further 10%. Marchini et al. (2014) reported the composition of headspace, but not the concentration of each species. Martyn et al. (2013) reported total monoterpenes (consisting of α-pinene, β-pinene, β-myrcene and d-limonene) concentrations of 50–100 ppbv in the grow rooms of illicit cultivation facilities, suggesting high emissions from growing Cannabis spp. Due to Cannabis spp. status as an illegal Schedule 1 drug by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency (USD.E.A., 2017), there are no known systematic studies to characterize and specifically quantify the volatile emissions during the growing and budding process. Based on previous studies, however, the Cannabis spp. plants have the potential to emit VOCs into the facility in which they are grown, and also into the atmosphere.

Emissions of VOCs in urban areas have the potential to contribute to ozone production (Fehsenfeld et al., 1992; Pierce et al., 1998; Ryerson et al., 2001) and the formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2006). Once VOCs are released into the urban atmosphere, they can react with the hydroxyl (OH) radical, nitrate (NO₃) radical, and ozone (O₃) (Hites and Turner, 2009; Braure et al., 2014). These initial oxidation reactions lead to further atmospheric processing, which can ultimately lead to the formation of ozone and SOA. In Denver, for example, where there are > 600 Cannabis spp. cultivation facilities releasing BVOCs, the magnitude of these emissions has the potential to impact local and regional air quality, depending to some extent on the precise mix of compounds emitted. To understand the effect of BVOC emissions from these facilities on atmospheric chemistry and composition, it is necessary to identify and quantify the sources.

The goal of this study was to estimate the emission capacity (EC, μg C g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) range and terpene emission composition of cannabis plants. There is sparse BVOC data available from enclosure techniques, and thus these studies provide new data on the quantification of emissions of BVOCs from live commercially-available strains of Cannabis spp. at different phenological stages in their lifecycle.

2. Methods

Air samples were collected from Cannabis spp. using plant enclosures onto solid adsorbent cartridges. These cartridges were later analysed using gas chromatography with mass spectrometry and flame ionization detection (GC-MS/FID) to identify individual BVOC compounds and quantify emission rates. The plants were purchased by volunteers and were handled, housed, and sampled in a private off-site location. After the experiments, those plants were disposed of and composted locally. We did not have access to laboratory facilities or a growth room with a controlled environment. Thus, these experiments should be viewed as field measurements.

2.1. Cultivation

Four Cannabis spp. strains, commonly found in CCFs in Colorado, were studied: “Rockstar Kush” (RK), “Elephant Purple” (EP), “Lemon Wheel (LW)”, and “Critical Mass” (CM). Twelve plants (3 from each strain) were grown under monitored conditions over a period of 14 weeks during the summer of 2016. The plants were bought on July 8, 2016 and transplanted to 1 US gallon (3.8 L) pots on July 15, 2016, at which time one additional pot (used as a control) was filled with identical soil. The soil used was a general use potting soil suitable for most plants. The plants were placed on trays and allowed to acclimate to the growing environment. The plants were kept well-irrigated with water being added to the trays every 2–3 days. In a growing facility growing lights are kept on for 24 h a day. Thus, three 15 W LED growing lamps were positioned 1 m above the top of the pots and the growing plants received 500–900 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of light continuously for 24 h (dependent on the distances between the leaf and growth light). The temperature of the growth room was not controlled and ranged between 15 and 30 °C, which is typical for local ambient conditions during summer in Denver. All plants received the same treatment and were regularly rotated to minimize edge effects and to ensure, as much as possible, that all plants experienced the same light and temperature environment.
2.2. Plant enclosure sampling

A standard plant enclosure sampling method was applied to measure BVOC emission rates (Tholl et al., 2006; Ortega and Helmig, 2008; Ortega et al., 2008). Air samples were collected from whole-plant enclosures for one specimen of each of the four strains and the blank pot after 12, 30, 46, and 96 days of growth since July 8, 2016. The same sampling routine was followed on each occasion. The pot containing the largest and tallest plant from each strain was selected and placed carefully in a 5 US gallon (19 L) PFA Teflon pail liner (Welch Fluorocarbon, Dover, NH, USA). The plants were handled as gently as possible to minimize emissions due to disturbance (Ortega and Helmig, 2008; Ortega et al., 2008). Ambient air was pumped through Teflon tubing (25.4 mm O.D.), first through an activated charcoal filter to remove O3 and VOCs, and then into the enclosure system. This enclosure system was designed to act as a continuous stirred-tank reactor (CSTR) with a constant flow rate of 2.4–2.7 L min⁻¹. A thermocouple was fed into the air space, and the bag was then clamped tightly around the pot until the bag inflated, indicating positive pressure within the enclosure. Since the measurements were done indoors, a 90 W growth lamp was positioned above the Teflon bag, delivering 650–900 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (PAR) at the plant top as measured by a quantum sensor (LI-COR model 190-R, Lincoln, Nebraska). Air flowed continuously through the enclosure for 30 min prior to sampling, allowing time for several exchanges of air and for the VOC concentrations to reach a steady-state. After 30 min, BVOC sampling commenced. During sampling, two stainless steel adsorbent cartridges, each containing ~400 mg of Tenax TA and Carbograph 5TD in series (Markes International, Llantrisant, UK), were connected in parallel to the Teflon line exiting the enclosure. Air exiting the enclosure was pulled at a known flow rate (between 220 and 250 ml min⁻¹) through each cartridge for 30 min, resulting in a sample collection on each cartridge of between 6.5 and 7.5 L. Terpene concentrations measured in these samples therefore represented an average over that 30-min collection period, during which time flow rate, irradiance, and air temperature were maintained at a relatively constant value.

Following sampling, each cartridge was securely capped at both ends and refrigerated prior to analysis. After 46 and 96 days of growth, the sampled plants were harvested and dried at room temperature for over one week. At the end of the drying time, leaves and buds were removed from each plant, and weighed to obtain the dry weight mass (Mdry (g)) for each strain. Of the original 12 plants, the 10 healthiest ones by visual inspection were chosen for sampling. The emission rates were therefore normalized using leaves from the plants that were weighed in the 46- and 96-day growth periods. Details of the leaf enclosure measurements are presented in Table 1. In addition to the enclosure measurements of the four Cannabis spp. strains, air samples were taken from the pot containing only soil and from an otherwise empty enclosure bag to act as controls. For these controls, the soil was moistened, and the Teflon bag was placed around the pot in the same way that the plants were treated.

Enclosure carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations are important for the calculation of photosynthesis rates. Further, it is important to keep CO₂ concentrations similar to ambient conditions so that BVOC emission rates are not inadvertently affected. These measurements of CO₂ concentrations, however, were not available during this study. In the study to keep concentrations similar to ambient we developed a protocol that set the input air flow rate of 2.4–2.7 L min⁻¹ resulting in a high chamber air exchange rate of 8.2 h⁻¹. Using this exchange rate we calculated the reduction in CO2 by assuming: photosynthesis rates of 10 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, ambient input CO₂ concentration of 400 ppm, active leaf area of 0.015 m² per plant. The estimated reduction in steady-state CO₂ concentrations were approximately 300 ppm or a reduction of 100 ppm. There amount of reduction is within the normal range of plant enclosure experiments. Therefore, we assume that this did not have an adverse impact on BVOC emission rates.

2.3. Analysis method and instrument (GCMS & GCFID)

Samples were thermally desorbed from the cartridges and analysed using a gas Chromatograph (GC) (Agilent Technologies, model 7890 A) coupled to both a flame ionization detector (FID) and a mass spectrometer (MS) (model 5975C), following published protocols (Harley et al., 2014). Thermal desorption (TD) was achieved by heating the adsorbent cartridges to 275 °C in a UNITY TD (model UNITY, Markes International, Llantrisant, UK), followed by focusing the analyses on to a small cryotrap, and then heating this final trap as the analytes were injected on to the column. Helium was used as the carrier gas in the capillary column (RESTEK Rtx-5 model 10224, 30 m, 0.32 mm, ID, 0.25 μm film thickness). The GC oven temperature cycle started at 35 °C and was held at that temperature for 1 min, subsequently increasing 10 °C per minute up to 260 °C for each cartridge. The peak area associated with m/z 93, the dominant monoterpene ion fragment, of each terpenoid was quantified by GC-MS. To account for changes in MS sensitivity, 2 ml of an internal standard, decahydronaphthalene (DHN), was sampled on to each adsorbent cartridge during the analysis. The measured terpenoid signals were normalized by dividing the m/z 93 mass fragment by the m/z 95 fragment of DHN. Additional calibrations were performed by loading sorbent tubes with 100 standard cm³ of a gas-phase standard containing 335 ppb of isoprene and 215 ppb of the monoterpene camphene. Two to four of these standard samples were run on the GC-MS and GC-FID for each batch of enclosure samples. The resulting signals were used to calculate a GC-FID response factor and a GC-MS sensitivity, which in turn were used to calculate gas-phase concentrations and emission rates.

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) database was used to identify individual monoterpenses from the GC-MS peaks by their mass fragmentation patterns using electron impact ionization. The

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Air temp, in enclosure (°C)</th>
<th>PAR (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Flow rate in (L min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sampling flow rate (L min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sampling time (min)</th>
<th>Estimated leaf area (m²)</th>
<th>Dry Leaf weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>30 days growth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Mass</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.24 &amp; 0.254</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Wheel</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.24 &amp; 0.254</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.24 &amp; 0.254</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockstar Kush</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.24 &amp; 0.254</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.24 &amp; 0.254</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>46 days growth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Mass</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.253 &amp; 0.257</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Wheel</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.253 &amp; 0.257</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.253 &amp; 0.257</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockstar Kush</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.253 &amp; 0.257</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.253 &amp; 0.257</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Total terpene emission rate per plant (μg C hr⁻¹) and composition of emissions for (A) 30-day, and (B) 46-day growth periods from four strains of Cannabis spp.: Critical Mass, Lemon wheel, Elephant Purple, and Rockstar Kush. Numbers in parentheses represent the percentage of total emissions. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
preliminary calculations of VOC concentrations based on GC-MS peak areas were cross-checked against the GC-FID. FID peaks of the DHN internal standard were used to ensure consistency and flag instrument drift. The measurement limitations of GC-FID and GC-MS are calculated using the blank sample. For the terpene compounds, the detection limits (DL) are three standard deviations of blank values. The average VOC concentrations from the two cartridges drawn from each enclosed plant, typically calculated by the GC-FID, was used due to its stability and linearity. In the case that there was a co-elution effect and the FID signal was lower than the FID detection limits, the GC-MS results were used. The DL of terpene by GC-MS is 0.004 g C hr⁻¹. If the results were lower than the limits a Non-Detection symbol (ND) is shown. All of the emission rate calculated from the FID and MS signal are included in the supplemental document as Table S1A and Table S1B. Other details about the analysis, such as retention time of each terpene species and fragment percentage of ion m/z 93 are also included in the supplemental document shown in Table S2.

2.4. Calculation of emission capacity

The EC and its algorithms were standardized in Guenther et al. (1993) and are based entirely on temperature and incident light energy. Our study follows these best practices, which have been applied to several studies (Funk et al., 2003; Ortega and Helmig, 2008; Ortega et al., 2008; Sakulanyontivivaya et al., 2008). While this is the standard practice in derivation of EC for atmospheric chemistry-climate modeling, there is evidence to suggest that monoterpene emissions from many plant species represent a combination of direct and stored emissions (Staudt et al., 1997; Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Niinemets et al., 2004). As monoterpene share a common synthesis pathway with isoprene, direct emissions of certain monoterpenes are dependent on light as well as temperature (Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Lichtenhaler, 1999). In absence of direct evidence, such as that provided by light-dark transition experiments, the light-independent (Tingey et al., 1986; Guenther et al., 1991) and light-dependent algorithms (Guenther et al., 1993; Guenther, 1997; Staudt et al., 1997) were both therefore calculated for the potential EC of all terpeneoid emissions.

Based on the concentration of each VOC calculated by GC-MS/FID and the air sampling flow rate, a rate of emission, $F_i$ (g C g⁻¹ h⁻¹), for each VOC species $i$ was calculated using Equation (1) (Ortega and Helmig, 2008):

$$F_i = \frac{Q(C_{\text{inst}} - C_{\text{longevity}})}{M_{\text{dry}}}$$

(1)

where $C_{\text{inst}}$ is the concentration of VOC species $i$ (g C mol⁻¹) in air exiting the enclosure containing a Cannabis spp. plant, $C_{\text{longevity}}$ is the concentration of VOC species $i$ (g C mol⁻¹) in air exiting the enclosure containing only the empty pot with soil and water, $M_{\text{dry}}$ is the dry mass of leaves (g), and $Q$ is the flow rate of air into the enclosure system (about 5.44 mol h⁻¹). Calculated values of $F_i$ therefore represent emission rates at measured temperatures and PAR.

The emission capacity ($e_i$) for VOC $i$ was calculated following Guenther et al. (1995):

$$e_i = \frac{F_i}{\gamma}$$

(2)

where $e_i$ is the emission capacity at $T_5 = 30^\circ C$ (g C g⁻¹ h⁻¹), $F_i$ is the emission rate of the VOC $i$ (g C (dry matter)⁻¹ h⁻¹) calculated using Equation (1), and $\gamma$ is a dimensionless activity factor which corrects for temperature and light conditions. In equation (3), $\gamma$ is defined for temperature and independent of light.

$$\gamma = \exp[B(T - T_5)]$$

(3)

where $B$ is an empirical coefficient (in K⁻¹) taken as $B = 0.09$ for all monoterpene, eucalyptol, and sesquiterpenes (Guenther et al., 1991; Ortega and Helmig, 2008; Ortega et al., 2008).

In equation (4), the $\gamma$ is a factor with a light dependent condition (Guenther et al., 1993; Guenther, 1997; Staudt et al., 1997).

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha_i L}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha_i^2 R^2}} + \frac{\exp \left(\frac{C_{T_1} (T - T_1)}{R_1 T_1}\right)}{C_{T_2} + \exp \left(\frac{C_{T_1} - R_2 T_1}{R_2 T_1}\right)}$$

(4)

where $L$ is the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), $R$ is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), $\alpha_i = 0.0027$, $C_{T_1} = 1.066$, $C_{T_2} = 95,000 J mol⁻¹$, $C_{T_3} = 230,000 J mol⁻¹$, $C_{T_3} = 0.961$ and $T_M = 41^\circ C$ are empirical coefficients.

3. Results

The terpene emission rates per plant (µgC h⁻¹) and the percentage composition of the different emitted terpenes were calculated at 30 and 46 days of growth for all four Cannabis spp. strains with and without a light dependency. When a light-dependency was applied to plants at 46 days of growth, we estimated an increase of 5–10% in the emission rate. Given the high level of uncertainty in our rate estimate, the lower values without a light dependency are described in the following results. All estimates using a light dependency can be found in table S1A and S1B. Fig. 1 shows the measured composition and estimated terpene emission rates. These values are not normalized by leaf weight since the foliage was kept intact until 46 days of growth. β-myrcene and eucalyptol are the most abundant BVOC species at these two growth stages in all four strains, although the composition of terpene emissions varies among the growth stages and strains (Fig. 1). In each strain, the whole-plant emission increased as the plants grew bigger, which is to be expected due to the increased amount of foliage between 30 and 46 days. Critical Mass had the highest emission rate at both 30- and 46-day growth stages with 1.4 µgC h⁻¹ and 8.6 µgC h⁻¹ per plant. The terpeneoid composition of Critical Mass emissions also changed across the different growth stages, at 30 days of growth the terpenoids with the highest emission rates were β-myrcene (43%), and eucalyptol (19%). Sixteen days later the largest emitted species were eucalyptol (32%), β-myrcene (18%) and γ-terpinene (14%). For Lemon Wheel, eucalyptol (33%, 38%) and β-myrcene (27%, 26%) were the dominant emissions. For Elephant Purple and Rockstar Kush the highest emissions were from β-myrcene (39% and 41%), eucalyptol (25% and 28%), and d-limonene (17% and 8%) at 30 days. At 46 days Elephant Purple and Rockstar Kush had increases in γ-terpinene (8%) and Caryophyllene (5% and 7%) emissions.

After 46 days of growth, the ECs of three different strains were calculated at 30°C and normalized by dry leaf weight (Fig. 2A). These were calculated using GC-FID data unless there was a co-elution effect and the GC-MS signal was used as shown in Table S1. Dry leaf mass was not measured for Elephant Purpel, hence EC could not be calculated for this strain. The highest total terpene EC (including monoterpene, eucalyptol and caryophyllene) was 8.7 ± 0.7 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ for the Critical Mass strain, of which 5.7 ± 0.5 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (66%) was monoterpene, 2.8 ± 0.19 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (32%) was eucalyptol, and 0.2 ± 0.01 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (2%) was caryophyllene. Total terpene EC for Lemon Wheel and Rockstar Kush were 5.9 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 4.9 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹. For Lemon Wheel, eucalyptol contributed 2.2 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (38%) and monoterpene 3.5 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (59%); whereas for Rockstar Kush, the contributions were 0.8 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (17%) and 3.7 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (76%). The complete emission capacities of all terpene species, based using both the GC-FID and GC-MS data, are shown for all strains in Figure S1.

The emission variations of each terpene species among the three different Cannabis spp. strains after 46 days of growth are illustrated in Fig. 2B, which shows the mean for each species and ranges displayed as standard deviations. The primary emissions from Cannabis spp. are monoterpene (ranging between 3.1 and 5.5 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and eucalyptol (1.0–3.0 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹). The absolute value and range of caryophyllene emission capacities are much smaller at 0.18–0.3 µgC g⁻¹ h⁻¹.
To understand the potential impact of these emissions on air quality, the Ozone Formation Potential (OFP) in μg ozone per gram dry weight of Cannabis spp. per hour of each terpene was calculated as shown in Equation (5) (Ou et al., 2015):

$$\text{OFP} = \frac{\text{Emission capacity (μg/g/hr)} \times \text{MIR (ozone(g)/VOC(g))}}{1}$$

where MIR is the Maximum Incremental Reactivity (CARB, 2010) defined as the maximum number of grams of ozone produced per gram of reactant VOC. For this study, if a specific MIR is not available, the reported average monoterpene MIR (4.04 ozone(g)/VOC(g)) was applied to calculate OFPs. A surrogate MIR for a C15 alkene was used for caryophyllene, due to it having the same carbon number and being a similar alkene species.

Fig. 3A shows the OFP estimated for the individual terpenes emitted from three Cannabis spp. strains (Critical Mass, Lemon Wheel, and Rockstar Kush). The total OFP rate of Critical Mass is 41 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹, Lemon Wheel is 27 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ and Rockstar Kush is 22 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹. For Critical Mass and Lemon Wheel, the eucalyptol and β-myrcene species make up 50% of the total OFP rate. The OFPs of Critical Mass for eucalyptol and β-myrcene are 12.8 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ and 7.3 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹. The OFPs of Lemon Wheel for eucalyptol and β-myrcene are 10.2 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ and 7.1 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹. Rockstar Kush has a higher β-myrcene OFP rate, which is 9.7 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹, and eucalyptol is 3.7 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹.

Fig. 3B shows the SOA formation potentials (SFPs) based on the (SOA) yield (Lee et al., 2006; Iinuma et al., 2009; Fry et al., 2014; Slade et al., 2017) of individual terpenes as calculated from Equation (6).

$$\text{SFP} = \frac{\text{Emission capacity (μg/g/hr)} \times \text{SOA Yield}}{1}$$

Fig. 3B estimated the SOA formation potential from the terpene species emitted from Critical Mass, Lemon Wheel and Rockstar Kush after 46 days of growth. For compounds without a published SOA yield (marked: #), we assumed an SOA yield 0.3. The total SFP of Critical Mass is about 2.4 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹; with eucalyptol generating 0.63 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ of SOA, and γ-terpinene 0.4 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ of SOA. For Lemon Wheel, the total SFP is 1.6 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹, with eucalyptol contributing 0.51 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹, β-myrcene is 0.19 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ and d-limonene is 0.19 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹. For Rockstar Kush, the total SFP is 1.3 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹, with 0.26 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ from β-myrcene, and 0.27 μg g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ from d-limonene. Eucalyptol, γ-terpinene, and d-limonene have the largest SOA yields, but emissions were low for the strains tested here. The complete numbers of OFP and SFP of all terpenes for all strains are in supplemental table S4.

4. Discussion and conclusion

This study presents the first enclosure measurements of VOC emission rates from four commercial Cannabis spp. strains. This is a limited data set given the number of available strains and possible growing conditions. These measurements do, however, offer a good first step of demonstrating the potential impacts of emission from this new industry and provide constraints over possible ranges of emission rates. The results show that the magnitude of the emission rates from Cannabis spp., and the composition of the terpenes emitted, vary by strain and growing stage. These emitted terpenes also differ from other biogenic emissions from plant species normally found in Colorado. For example, the abundance of Pinus spp. in the region results in α-pinene and β-pinene being the dominant terpene emissions with comparable amounts of 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol (Harley et al., 1998). Terpene emissions from all our Cannabis spp. strains had eucalyptol and β-myrcene as the highest emitted species. Ross et al. (Ross and ElSohly, 1996) also found that fresh buds of Cannabis spp. plants were about 67% β-myrcene. Similar to our results, Fischedick et al., (2010) (Fischedick et al., 2010) found that terpenes extracted from buds had different compositions across 11 strains. In six of these strains the dominant terpene was also β-myrcene (> 35%).

It is important to note that for large-scale Cannabis spp. cultivation facilities the growth conditions are optimized. This includes elevating CO₂ concentrations to 1500 ppm in growing rooms, carefully managing water use, elevating light to > 1000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (PAR), and maintaining temperatures greater than 30 °C. Further, these growers routinely use pesticides and fertilizer to optimize plant growth (Mills, 2012; Bauer et al., 2015; Ashworth and Vizuete, 2017). In these experiments plants were not grown at these ideal conditions, and thus the emissions measured here should be seen as a conservative estimate of the total amount of VOCs emitted from commercial facilities. Further, this study was also limited in the number of strains that were analysed. Four strains of Cannabis spp. were measured in this study, however, there are a
reported 620 Cannabis spp. strains planted in Denver cultivation facilities (Leafly, 2018). Those strains in CCF could change over time based on both consumer demand and other market factors. To constrain such uncertainties, further studies are required with a greater number of strains, a wider range of treatments focusing on light and temperature dependencies, controlled growing environments, and data that includes rates of venting to the atmosphere. As far as possible, conditions should reflect current industry practices so that a representative dataset of Cannabis spp. emission capacities could be built for the whole lifecycle of Cannabis spp. Such a dataset would enable authorities to assess the potential impacts of this new industry on regional air quality and if necessary determine mitigation strategies.

According to the Colorado Department of Revenue (CDOR, 2017), there were more than 1400 CCFs in Colorado in 2017, with over 600 in the Denver metro area. If these emissions from these cultivations are released into the ambient atmosphere they have the potential to impact local ozone and particulate matter (PM). For example, if each of the 600 facilities in Denver contained the permitted 10,000 plants (CDOR, 2017), with an assumed biomass of 1 kg/plant (Green, 2009; Jankauskiene and Gruzdeviene, 2015), and all emissions were released into the atmosphere, a EC of 8.7 μgC g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ emission capacity would result in the annual total terpene emission of 520 metric ton year⁻¹. This emission rate is more than twice that of the 250 metric ton year⁻¹ of total biogenic VOC emissions for Denver as estimated by the Western Air Quality Study (WAQS, 2017) for Colorado’s 2008 regulatory air quality model simulations (RAQC, 2016). Using MIR values shown in Fig. 3 these BVOC emissions could produce 2100 metric ton year⁻¹ of ozone, and using the yields shown in Fig. 3 produce 131 metric ton year⁻¹ of PM. Given the location of the VOCs emitted from Cannabis spp. from facilities in downtown Denver near major urban anthropogenic sources, there is the potential for the emissions from the commercial cultivation of Cannabis spp. to impact regional concentrations of ozone and PM. Additional work is needed to assess these potential air quality impacts in air quality model evaluations of not only Colorado, but in other states where the commercial cultivation and sale of Cannabis spp. has been legalized.
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